RECEIVED 2024-CV-00197 Case No. 1 2020 JAN -7 AM 8: 03 JAN - 7 2025 2 Dept. No. 2 3 **Douglas County** District Court Clark S. FRANZ EPUTY 4 5 IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 6 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS 7 8 KINGSBURY GENERAL IMPROVEMENT 9 DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of 10 Nevada, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS 11 OF LAW AND DECREE OF JUDICIAL CONFIRMATION Petitioner. 12 (NRS Chapter 43) 13 14 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Kingsbury General 15 Improvement District's (KGID) Verified Petition for Judicial 16 Confirmation (NRS Chapter 43) filed on September 17, 2024. Tahoe 17 Douglas Fire Protection District (TDFP) filed its Answer to 18 Verified Petition for Judicial Confirmation on October 23, 2024. 19 State Fire Marshal filed its Joinder to Tahoe Douglas Fire 20 Protection District's Answer to Verified Petition for Judicial 21 Confirmation on November 1, 2024. No other person or entity 22 answered. Oral arguments were presented on December 19, 2024. 23 Good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows: 24 Jurisdiction 25 KGID is a Douglas County, Nevada general improvement district 26 governed by Chapter 318 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. KGID's 27 Verified Petition for Judicial Confirmation (NRS Chapter 43) 28

(Petition), prays for a judicial examination and determination of the validity of its power. KGID published and posted notice in compliance with NRS 43.120. See, Notice of Proof of Publication and Posting, November 4, 2024. The Court has jurisdiction. NRS 43.120(3).

Discussion

KGID owns and maintains fire hydrants installed on private property throughout its district. KGID has no interest in removing obstructions from around its fire hydrants that hinder fire department access, including snow. KGID asks the Court to confirm that it has no duty to remove obstructions from around its fire hydrants and, even if it does, KGID has no authority to go onto private property to remove the obstructions.¹

The Court assumes the accuracy of undisputed assertions made by KGID. These include:

KGID's enabling ordinances expressly grant KGID the basic powers of paving, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm drainage, sanitary sewer improvements, water improvements, street lighting and garbage/refuse collection and disposal.

Although not expressly stated in enabling ordinances, KGID's basic powers impose upon KGID a duty to install fire hydrants.

KGID had authority to install the fire hydrants on private property. KGID installed fire hydrants on private property throughout its district. KGID owns the fire hydrants.

Although not expressly stated in enabling ordinances, KGID's basic powers impose upon KGID a duty to maintain the fire

<sup>27

1</sup> Due to the limited nature of judicial confirmation, the Court does not assess duties owed by persons or entities other than KGID and makes no prospective determination regarding KGID's exposure to criminal liability.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

hydrants. KGID has authority to go onto private property to service the fire hydrants. KGID goes onto private property to inspect and service the fire hydrants.

Although not expressly stated in enabling ordinances, KGID's basic powers impose upon KGID a duty to remove snow from the streets. KGID removes snow from the streets.

Does KGID have a duty to remove obstacles from around its fire hydrants?

While accepting its duty to install and maintain fire hydrants, KGID decries any obligation to clear snow or other obstructions from around the fire hydrants to ensure access by the fire department.

The genesis and purpose of KGID's existence is important to answering the question posed. The legislative purpose of general improvement districts (GID's) is to "promote the health, safety, prosperity, security and general welfare of the inhabitants thereof and of the State of Nevada." NRS 318.015(1)(emphasis added). "[T]he acquisition, improvement, maintenance and operation of any project authorized in this chapter is in the public interest and constitutes part of the established and permanent "For the accomplishment of policy of the State of Nevada." Id. these purposes the provisions of this chapter shall be broadly "This chapter being necessary to secure the construed." Id. public health, safety, convenience and welfare, it shall be liberally construed." NRS 318.040 (emphasis added).

KGID's powers are those expressed in Douglas County
Ordinances 140 and 144; those powers necessarily or fairly implied
in or incident to the powers expressly granted; and those powers

essential to the accomplishment of the declared objects and purposes of the county and not merely convenient but indispensable. NRS 244.137(3); See also, Sadler v. Eureka County, 15 Nev. 39, 42 (1880). 2 KGID "shall have and exercise all rights and powers necessary or incidental to or implied from the specific powers granted in this chapter. Such specific powers shall not be considered as limitation upon any power necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes and intent of this chapter." In accord, KGID "may construct or otherwise acquire any improvement appertaining to any such basic power which the district may exercise...." NRS 318.100(1). KGID "may also furnish services pertaining to any such basic power which the district may exercise." NRS 318.100(2).

KGID has the power to "operate, maintain and repair the improvements acquired by the district, including, without limitation, ... all facilities of the district relating to any basic power which the district is authorized to exercise, and in connection therewith to exercise from time to time any one, all or any combination of the incidental powers provided in this chapter and any law supplemental thereto, except as may be otherwise provided in this chapter or in any such supplemental law." 318.145 (emphasis added). KGID argues NRS 318.145's specific reference to the removal of snow from streets proves that KGID cannot be bothered to remove snow from fire hydrants, i.e., "[The Nevada Legislature] could have provided the same authority regarding snow around hydrants. It did not." KGID's Reply, p. 6,

28

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

²⁷

² The statutory presumption favoring BOCC's power as to matters of local

footnote 1. KGID's argument is without merit given the bolded language from NRS 318.145 as emphasized above.

KGID is a GID created by ordinance of the Douglas County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) upon declaration of an "[T]he general purpose for which [KGID] is created and emergency. the powers which it shall have shall be for ... water improvements " Douglas County Ordinance 144, Section 2(c). "In the improvement of the lands of this proposed district it is necessary that the improvements herein proposed by [sic] provided for the protection of public health, safety and general welfare." Id. at Section 3 The power over water improvements is within the (emphasis added). powers appropriately conveyed to a GID. NRS 318.116(15); NRS 318.144(1)(The board may acquire, construct, reconstruct, improve, extend or better a works, system or facilities for the supply, storage and distribution of water for private and public purposes).

KGID understands that its express power over "water improvements" encapsulates a duty to provide and maintain a water system and/or water facilities including fire hydrants, although not expressly stated. KGID argues, however, that while it is duty-bound to install and maintain fire hydrants, it has no obligation to ensure fire fighter access to the same.

KGID's position is without legal support. KGID has the power to maintain its fire hydrants. NRS 318.175(2). The primary, if not sole purpose of a fire hydrant is to provide a water source for fire fighters for use in suppressing fires, thereby protecting the public health, safety and general welfare. See, e.g., NAC 477.1035 defining "fire hydrant" as "a water supply system with a

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

valve connection that has at least one outlet that is used to supply water to a hose or pumper tanker for a fire department." An inaccessible fire hydrant is incapable of use for its intended If KGID does not clear obstructions from about its fire hydrants, it fails its basic duty of protecting public health, Indeed, an inaccessible fire hydrant safety and general welfare. no more promotes public safety than a non-existent or malfunctioning fire hydrant. This conclusion is consistent with the State Fire Marshal's opinion and the authorities relied upon therein.

The Court confirms that KGID has a legal duty to maintain its fire hydrants without exception. Inherent in this duty is KGID's obligation to ensure access to its fire hydrants for use by fire This obligation includes the removal of fighting personnel. obstructions such as, but not limited to, snow, branches, bushes, fencing, boulders, landscaping, locks, etc., from around KGID's fire hydrants.

Does KGID have authority to enter private property to remove obstacles from around its fire hydrants?

KGID posits that even if it has a duty to clear its fire hydrants of obstruction, KGID has no authority to enter upon private property to do so. Notably, KGID chose to install most, if not all, of its fire hydrants on private property. not say what legal authority it relied upon when entering private Likewise, KGID accepts property to install its fire hydrants. responsibility for maintaining its fire hydrants and goes on private property to conduct hydrant inspections but does not cite the source of its legal authority.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Court will not guess at the legal authorities relied upon by KGID. It is incomprehensible, however, for KGID to argue it has authority to enter private property to install and maintain fire hydrants but it is without authority to enter private property to clear fire hydrants from obstruction. The Court has already held that KGID's duty to maintain fire hydrants includes an obligation to remove obstructions. Thus, if KGID is correct in its assertion that it has authority to install and maintain fire hydrants on private property, then it must also have authority to clear obstructions.

If KGID does not already have authority to enter private property to remove obstructions from around its fire hydrants, as KGID now claims, KGID may not shirk its fire hydrant maintenance duties by refusing to obtain authorization to enter private KGID "shall have and exercise all rights and powers property. necessary or incidental to or implied from the specific powers granted...." NRS 318.210 (emphasis added). The law supplies KGID with multiple avenues for carrying out its fire hydrant duties, See, e.g., NRS 318.160 ranging from consent to condemnation. ("[T] he board shall have the power to acquire, dispose of and encumber real and personal property, and any interest therein, including leases, easements, and revenues derived from the operation thereof. The constitutional and inherent powers of the legislature are hereby delegated to the board for the acquisition, disposal and encumbrance of property"); NRS 318.170(1)("The board may, in connection with a district with basic powers relating to...water facilities...(d) Make and enforce all necessary regulations for...the proper use of water within the district"); NRS 318.190

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

```
("The board shall have and may exercise the power of eminent
 1
    domain and dominant eminent domain in the manner proved by law for
    the condemnation by a city of private property for public use to
 3
    take any property necessary to the exercise of the powers granted,
 4
    both within and without the district"); NRS 318.197(1)("The board
 5
    may fix...rates, tolls or charges other than special assessments...for
 6
    services or facilities furnished by the district...");
 7
    ("The board shall have the power to adopt and amend bylaws, not in
 8
    conflict with the Constitution and laws of the State (2)
 9
    Regulating the use ore right of use of any project or
10
    improvement"); NRS 318.101(1)("As an alternative procedure
11
    for...improving...any public improvement, and for defraying all cost
12
    thereof...the district, acting by and through the board, is vested
13
    with the powers granted to municipalities by chapters 271..."); NRS
14
15
    360.830 (re: interlocal agreements).
16
    ///
17
    ///
18
    ///
19
    ///
20
    111
21
    ///
22
    ///
23
    ///
24
    ///
25
    ///
26
    ///
27
    ///
```

THOMAS W. GREGORY DISTRICT JUDGE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT' P.O. BOX 218 MINDEN, NV 89423

28

///

The Court confirms that not only does KGID have a duty to 1 clear the area around its fire hydrants of obstructions but is also obligated to take measures to fulfill its duty. As for the 3 latter, it is not for the Court to decide amongst options 4 5 available or otherwise exercise KGID's discretion, particularly given KGID's insistence that it does not have or seek to have 6 7 authorization to enter private property and remove obstructions. TDFPD and the State Fire Marshal ask the Court to imply easements but have left the Court without sufficient evidence to decide that 9 10 issue. 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12

DATED this 6 day of January, 2025.

13 14

(a) A

DISTRICT JUDGE

15

Copies served by mail on January 71 2025, addressed to:

17

16

Mark Forsberg, Esq. 18

504 Musser Street, Ste. 202 Carson City, Nevada 89701

19

Charles S. Zumpft, Esq. P.O. Box 2860

21

20

Minden, Nevada 89423

22

Devon Reese, Esq.

23

200 S. Virginia Street, Ste. 655 Reno, Nevada 89501

24

Jesselyn V. De Luna 25

Deputy Attorney, General 26

c/o Office of the Attorney General

1 State of Nevada Way, Ste. 100 27

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Erin C. Plante