Minutes of Board Meeting

Members Present:
Dave Fogerson
Elaine Pace
Kelli Baratti
Bart J. Chambers
Jeff Buchanan
Nick Moriarty
Terry Wilferd
Bill Erlach
Eric Guevin

Members Not Present:
Domingo Cambeiro
Kacey KC

Others Present:
Gwen Barrett, Executive Administrative Assistant, State Fire Marshal Division
Nathan Hastings, Deputy Attorney General, Attorney General’s Office
Mark Flesher, President, Nevada State Firefighter’s Association
Larry Haydu, Assistant Fire Chief, Clark County Fire Department
Tom Martinez, Training Officer, State Fire Marshal Division
Tom Dunn, District Vice President, Professional Firefighters of Nevada
James Wright, Director, Nevada Department of Public Safety
Todd Ingalsbee, Professional Firefighters of Nevada
Bob Sullivan, Southwest Regional Director, National Fire Protection Association
Michael Heidemann, Nevada State Firefighters’ Association

1. CALL TO ORDER BY THE CHAIR (Non-Action Item.)
   Chair Fogerson called the meeting to order at 8:07am.

2. VERIFICATION OF NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
   (Non-Action Item.) Chair Fogerson confirmed with Gwen Barrett that the meeting is in compliance with the Open Meeting Law.
3. **ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS** (Non-action Item.)
   Roll was taken and introductions made. A quorum was established.

4. **PUBLIC COMMENT** (Non-Action Item.) There was no public comment.

5. **ELECTION OF A STATE BOARD OF FIRE SERVICES CHAIRPERSON** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)
   
   Kelli Baratti motioned to have Dave Fogerson remain as the Chair of the Board of Fire Services. Elaine Pace seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.

6. **APPROVAL OF THE JUNE 21, 2018 MEETING MINUTES** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)

   Elaine Pace motioned to approve the minutes as written. Kelli Baratti seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.

7. **MODIFICATIONS TO SCHOOL FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)
   Possible action is for the Board to discuss and potentially provide the Chair with a position on alterations to the Fire Code regarding K-12 school fire alarms due to active assailant/hostile event concerns. Any position so provided by the Board may be used for any Legislative testimony by the Chair or Board members when representing the Board of Fire Services.

   Chair Fogerson indicated that the Legislative Session is approaching and the Board will take an official position on certain topics, one of which is alarm systems in schools. There has been a lot of talk through various school districts and some fire prevention staff on the removal of fire alarms in certain areas to prevent an active assailant from pulling an alarm in order to get students in an open area. There is a balancing act of protecting students from fire deaths and active assailants.

   Chief Chambers indicated that he recently met with the Clark County School District and Washoe County School District Emergency Management. Last session, Assembly Bill 127 went forward to ensure there was approval from local fire chiefs, be it paid or volunteer for all schools throughout the State for Emergency Management and the need for fire alarms as well as fire drills and lock down drills. Per International Fire Code, you cannot mix fire drills and lock down drills. This has opened up dialogue in regards to what lock down procedures would be. If there was a 3-minute delay until confirmed whether it be a fire or active shooter, then take the appropriate action. No different than on the operation side of the fire side, if you had a ringing alarm make sure it was a true ringing alarm for a fire or if it was a false alarm.

   If a school is fully spinklered they can remove the pull stations. And Chief Chamber’s stance in Clark County is that they will be left in two locations – the Chemistry lab and the main office.

   Jeff Buchanan cautioned about implementing an unfunded mandate to the school districts. Chief Chambers indicated that Mike Wilson and Dwayne Resop, both of Clark County School District, are supportive and that we cannot immediately mandate that all schools that have pull stations remove them, but we can give them the option.
Jeff Buchanan inquired about the moving the pull stations inside of classrooms, Chief Chambers replied that they still are needed in common areas.

Chair Fogerson as the Board’s feeling on the stance. Kelli Baratti indicated that it is incumbent upon the Board members to keep an eye on all the recommendations. The Division of Emergency Management (DEM) is required to collect school emergency response plans, DEM is not statutorily required to review them, just simply collect them. Perhaps we need to do a little better outreach to the school districts “we are the ones that are going to come and help you, let us help you with your plans.” Kelli Baratti is in support of the Board’s efforts as long as it is absolutely in collaboration with the Superintendent and the State Fire Marshal Division. Chief Chambers mentioned that there was not dialogue with the State Fire Marshal Division for the passage of AB 127. We need to have the dialogue to ensure two elements are in place: the occupants of the schools are safe and that the measure in place is accurate and attainable where the first responders coming in know what is happening. There was discussion that a lock down alarm must be audibly different the fire alarm.

Chief Chambers has had discussion with Dr. Johnson, Midway ISD, Texas – their philosophy with every school in this country is, they did it and were cost effective, using the system they had in place. Nick Moriarty suggested for the potential of radio communication if there is some type of implementation of positive alarm sequencing. Bill Erlach added that in no way would the fire alarm ever be activated in the event of a shooting and he mentioned there could be a national standard across the country. Director Wright expressed his concerns about the change in society and offering kids a safe school environment. The intent of pull stations was to get students out in the open. It sounds that we are trying to depend on one system to send two messages, and the technology is not there in existing systems. Chief Chambers mentioned Doug Sartain from industry, he is a subject matter expert in regards to notification, and Chief Chambers will get additional information from him.

Chair Fogerson motioned that the position of the Board of Fire Services for Legislative testimony or for official stance is that we support the practice of removing pull stations, except for within Chemistry Labs and Administrative Offices, if the school is fully sprinkled. Kelli Baratti seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.

Bart Chambers added the additional information on what has been discussed with 2018 International Fire Code on lockdown and is much different that 2012 and will get the information out to the Chair for dissemination.

8. **HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS TO MEET THE NEVADA HIGH RISE BUILDING REQUIREMENTS** (Discussion/For Possible Action.) Possible action is for the Board to discuss and potentially provide the Chair with a position on the height of buildings to be considered a high rise; either the current Nevada standard of 55 feet or the base fire code height of 75 feet. Any position so provided by the Board may be used for any Legislative testimony by the Chair or Board members when representing the Board of Fire Services.

Jeff Buchanan wants to ensure the Board be very accurate with its position. Chief Chambers mentioned that the City of Las Vegas and Henderson both want base code of 75 feet. Clark County, North Las Vegas, and Mesquite are remaining at 55 feet. Jeff Buchanan asked if the building officials agree to the 75 feet. Chief Chambers answered the building officials have backed off in their locations and support staying at 55 feet – for the fire/life safety measures of occupants and for firefighters. There was discussion about the Governor’s Committee Report in regards to the MGM and Hilton fires, the Mizpah fire, the number of emergency response staff, building officials, occupant and firefighter safety. Chief Chamber added if an area wants to go to 75 feet, make sure
there is a response matrix in place that can be supported and maintained for years to come. If we compromise firefighter safety, we compromise the safety of our citizens and our visitors tenfold. Jeff Buchanan asked why they want to go to 75 feet, and Chief Chambers replied it is for economic development. Eric Guevin added that it is really coming from developers and revitalization, had the Mizpah Hotel been sprinklered the tragedy would have never resulted. Jeff Buchanan referred to one of the Missions of the Board of Fire Services – to look at fire and life safety, and if we stick to that mission it guides us to the answer to this question. Director Wright added that when the 75 feet came up last session, he couldn’t understand the whole idea of lowering it 20 feet, and suggested some kind of illustration to present during session, the 55 vs 75 feet and what the ramifications of the 20 feet difference makes.

Tom Dunn mentioned that they were very involved with Senate Bill 67 hearing last session. They brought forward a National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Fire Analysis on high-rise building fires from 2009-2013: US Fire Departments responded to an estimated average of 14,500 reported structure fires in high-rise buildings per year. Most high-rise building fire began on floors no higher than the sixth story. Tom Dunn asked if a majority of our fires are happening no higher than that, and there is a push to move high-rise to seven stories, why are why doing it? The more people you can stuff into a building, the more potential for profit. The Professional Firefighters of Nevada are opposed to a change in the high-rise fire code beyond the 55 feet.

Bill Erlach motioned that the Board of Fire Services supports the current standards of 55 feet over the based code height of 75 feet. And we will represent this position or legislative testimony and the safety of the citizens of the State of Nevada. Nick Moriarty seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.

9. ADOPTION OF A WILDLAND URBAN INTERFACE CODE (Discussion/For Possible Action.) Possible action is to discuss and possibly provide the Chair with a Board position on the adoption of the Wildland Urban Interface Codes by local municipalities and the State Fire Marshal providing the Chair with an official recommendation or adoption. Any position so provided by the Board may be used for any Legislative testimony by the Chair or Board members when representing the Board of Fire Services.

Chair Fogerson noted that Jeff Buchanan had to leave the meeting and we still have a quorum.

Chair Fogerson noted that there is a large fire burning in Butte, California right now. They have asked for 40 Type 1 Strike Teams and people are trapped. This sets the tone for talking about pushing for the adoption of Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) codes. This has been a passion of the Board for a while, talking about how we do not want to reinvent what has happened before.

Eric Guevin mentioned the Angora Fire in South Lake Tahoe. We need to look at how buildings are being built in the urban interface and the arming them to withstand a fire front. It will save firefighters’ lives and property. We have to look at fuel reduction programs and ensure they are done regularly and properly staffed. The Little Valley Fire was a situation where they knew fuel work needed to be done. Weather conditions caused it to become catastrophic. We do not have much control over topography or weather. We have control over the defensible space in the building environment. We need to prepare for evacuations and support law enforcement.

Eric Guevin went on to say the disconnect is interoperability. Tahoe just had its first ever bi-state evacuation drill, and in addition to the fire service, law enforcement is a huge component. He also
referred to Community Emergency Response Team (CERT.) Dave Fogerson added that that was a part that was missed on Wildland Fire; Emergency Management, because Emergency Managements owns the CERT team – who coordinates law enforcement, firefighters and make the whole process work. Eric Guevin mentioned that FEMA is looking into keeping funding for the communities that do not have Wildland Urban Interface from being appropriated. Is it an unfunded mandate? We have a world renowned program with “Living with Fire” and fire companies need to look at these at all levels. Dave Fogerson said that locals are responsible for those residential R1s and R2s.

Chief Chambers was recently talking with the Elko Fire Chief about interlocals and the wildland fires that Elko was dealing with. Elko did not want to enforce thru WUI, but Chief Chambers reminded him that there is an element with in the WUI, for looking into reimbursement or a civil action. It was enlightening to some folks and the education aspect needs to be put out there to protect our ranchers, farmers and the dense areas. Kelli Baratti agreed about public outreach and education. We are not likely to receive Fire Management Assistance Grant Program (FMAG) because of the current criteria, but it is possible in our role as the Board of Fire Services to have a position where we support expanding the definition of FMAG. Eric Guevin talked about fires in Reno; kids playing with fire, lightening, and that fires are changing because there are buildings, trees, and brush; there are two different attack principles; wildland and structure. Dave Fogerson added that when we look at the WUI code we are also looking at tourism and maintaining assessed valuation to have the funds to have a fire department to protect the public. If we don’t have assessed value, we don’t have tax rate, we don’t have a fire department. Bill Erlach wants the Board to be a proponent of the agencies setting the example; agencies can see the City of Reno do it, so they think they need to follow along.

Tom Dunn mentioned that he is a firefighter for the City of Reno and they have found the WUI fires are becoming more frequent and more complex. Fires are following the natural terrain and easements that were supposed to be managed by ditch companies, but hadn’t been maintained. The Professional Firefighters of Nevada welcome the conversation about providing proper WUI Code and proper structure defense.

Jim Wright recalled going to the community of Mount Charleston when he was with the State Fire Marshal Division and WUI was first adopted. Mount Charleston did not want the State to mandate a code to clean up their properties. Now that we have experienced wildfires burning towns and communities, perhaps there might be consideration. The State originally adopted it to deal with defensible space, maybe it’s time now to start using some of the other section that we had not adopted at the time.

**Eric Guevin motioned to support the current stance of the State Fire Marshal Division and any future legislation to approve upon and strengthen the Wildland Urban Interface Code and support the local municipalities in their enforcement of the Wild Urban Interface Code. Elaine Pace seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.**

10. **REQUIRED TRAINING FOR FIREFIGHTERS** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)

Possible action is for the Board to discuss and potentially provide the Chair with an official position on requiring a minimum level of training prior to an individual being able to respond as a firefighter. Any position so provided by the Board may be used for any Legislative testimony by the Chair or Board members when representing the Board of Fire Services.

Bart Chambers noted that since July 1st, seven (7) firefighters have been burned in the north end of Nevada – three (3) with significant burns. A lack of training documentation has been discovered. In
discussion with the agencies and firefighters, what is a minimum level of training documentation? In NAC 477 we are proposing a foundation for agencies to establish a minimum standard of training for career, volunteer, brigade, mines, etc.

We are looking at training of firefighters, compliance with national standards, local government, township, district, or community that establish a paid or part-paid fire department that have been given responsibility of organization and deployment of fire suppression, operations, emergency medical operations, and special operations must follow as the minimum training requirements a person will set forth in NFPA Standard 1001 as adopted by Reference NAC-477.281, operations to the public by a paid fire department.

The verbiage reads:

For volunteer fire departments, local government, township, district as outlined in NRS-616A.145 or community that established a volunteer fire department that have been given responsibility of organization and deployment of fire suppression, operations, emergency medical operations, and special operations must follow as the minimum, training requirements a person will set forth in NFPA Standard 1720 as adopted by Reference NAC-477, operations to the public by volunteer fire department.

Facility fire brigade. Industrial, commercial, and institutional and similar properties that establish a fire-or establish a facility fire brigade must follow as a minimum, the training requirements personnel has set forth in NFPA Standard 1081 as adopted by Reference NAC-477.

Wildland fire management. State of local government, township, district as outlined in NRS-616A.145 or community that establish a fire protection organization on the management of wildland fire suppression in local government, township, or community through an agreement to respond to wildland fire, must follow as the minimum training requirements of personnel as set forth in NFPA Standard 1051, 1143, or NWCG as adopted by Reference NAC-477.281, wildland fire management.

Dave Fogerson indicated the Board is looking at whether or not the Board of Fire Services wants to say that we should have a standard. We have the Nevada Fire Services Professional Qualifications Manual but we do not have anything that says you must follow the manual.

Todd Ingalsbee indicated the PFFN is in support. There is a need for interaction will all agencies.

Mike Heidemann said that the Nevada State Firefighters Association has it on the agenda for official support, and that he personally supports it 100%. There are far more burn injuries than are reported.

Larry Haydu indicated that Clark County Fire Department is in support and have been proactive in the training of their personnel. They have 160 volunteers and their minimum standards are the new SFM Exterior Support Firefighter program. They have a transition to interior programs and has given a copy of the program to Bart Chambers.

Bill Erlach inquired about a call that turns into to EMS, fire, Hazmat, and extrication. If an individual did not have the extrication, can they respond to the incident? Mike Heidemann thinks they could support the role, but reminded everyone that every county has to send a report of the Hazmat side of what their level is. The jurisdictions set the minimum standards. Mike Heidemann wants the volunteer to be able to work with the professional firefighter. Mike Heidemann is
concerned about the volunteer and how much training time they need to put in. Bill Erlach was wondering if there is something that could be done to augment volunteer training.

Larry Haydu agreed that the biggest challenge is to get a volunteer to buy into the time commitment. The Clark County Fire Department has fewer volunteers than in the past six or eight years, but they operate at a much higher level, they lost the ones that were in it for the t-shirt. And 99% of the time, the volunteers are going to be safer because of what they have learned from the national standards. The ESFT is a great minimum standard.

Dave Fogerson added that the stand-alone fire departments seem to have the biggest problem, some communities can never have anything but a volunteer fire department and this is where we can use the State Fire Marshal Division and NSFA to help train and change the mentality. Larry Haydu added that if anybody wants to volunteer there is a spot for them, it might not be suppression or driving the tender, but you need the standards because there are liabilities to the cities, counties, and jurisdictions. We want firefighters and volunteer firefighters and citizens to be safe.

Mark Flesher added that are volunteer agencies that do not have proper equipment; some have not been tested for many years. We need to put an emphasis on following NFPA guidelines. Without good equipment, you will not get quality firefighters or EMTs.

Larry Haydu also mentioned that they are making the officer candidate school available to volunteer chiefs, so far eight have gone through the school, which helps give them an understanding of the liabilities and requirements that every department must follow.

Kelly Baratti found it interesting that they are talking about minimum standards for firefighters in 2018; she was on the NSFA Board 24 years ago and they were talking about it then.

Bart Chambers added that when he came to SFM he wanted to meet the volunteer chiefs and they were not happy that the Entry Level Firefighter (ELF) program was taken away. It is in statute to provide free volunteer training for Hazardous Materials Awareness, Hazardous Materials Operations, and Firefighter I certification. The SFM can potentially charge for the certification of career firefighters from Firefighter I on a current fee of $22. Had SFM been collecting this fee, during his tenure alone, they could have collected approximately $46,000 that could have been put into fire service training. Staff has been directed to enhance on grant for fire prevention, fire suppression, and training elements.

Kelli Baratti mentioned the Sheep Creek firefighter injuries, and Bart Chambers replied that a report was sent to OSHA. If we do not take a stance or at least have something addressed, it will be done for us. We want dialogue with PFFN, the fire chiefs, and NSFA, we want o be proactive rather than try to recover from a reactive direction from a legislative body.

Tom Martinez wanted the Board to be aware that after a firefighter is certified as Exterior Support Firefighter (ESF,) there is an avenue to Firefighter I transitional training. We are looking at opening up the ESF to Explorers in Nevada, with the hope that they would go on to Firefighter I once they reach the age of 18. There was further discussion about how many people are taking ESF. Dave Fogerson directed the State Fire Marshal to contact Nevada Fire Chiefs Association to find out the results of the volunteer fire department survey that the did using federal SAFER funds. Chief Chambers said he would have it for him in a couple of weeks.
Dave Fogerson motioned the Board supports establishing a minimum level of training for all firefighters; career, volunteer, and brigade prior to response that follows both National Standards and Nevada Fire Service Professional Qualifications Manual. Elaine Pace seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.

Chair Fogerson commented that they have had outstanding discussion and suggested that perhaps the State Fire Marshal Division can show agencies how to get equipment, training programs for new volunteer chief officers and work with NSFA in some sort of a training kit.

11. STATEWIDE RESILIENCY STRATEGY (Discussion/For Possible Action.)
Possible action is for the Board to discuss and potentially provide a recommendation for the State to adopt the Homeland Security Working Group’s resiliency strategy. Any position so provided by the Board may be used for any Legislative testimony by the Chair or Board members when representing the Board of Fire Services.

Chair Fogerson said the Homeland Security Working Group is appointed by the Governor and are part of the Governor’s Commission on Homeland Security and they have taken a subgroup to improve the resiliency of Nevada; the Resiliency Commission. The Commission meets monthly to discuss State issues and how to better address them. They have developed a Resort Planning Task Force, the resorts know they have to do an emergency operations plan and submit it to local fire department, local emergency management and to the State. Kelli Baratti informed the Board that Chief Fogerson, Chief Chambers, and Mike Heidemann have seats on the Resilience Commission.

The October 1 incident pointed out that the Division of Emergency Management (DEM) could not continue to do business as it always had - was 2017 an anomaly or do we take lessons learned and how we are going to address concerns.

The report will be sent to Board members to review and Item 11 will be considered at the next Board meeting.

12. REPORTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS CONCERNING THEIR VARIOUS CONSTITUENT GROUPS THEY REPRESENT ON THE BOARD (Non-Action Item) Report from Board members concerning their various constituent groups they represent on the Board or any of the various committees/assignments they are involved with as liaison to the Board or Fire Services and the public.

Eric Guevin- Vacation rentals and occupancy risks: Families displaced, a young man was killed in a fire in rental type situations, numerous exterior fires, so they have concerns. Eric Guevin is not opposed to the industry, but is concerned about safety. The Fire Prevention Association of Nevada (FPAN) is looking at the vacation rentals, it is an unregulated market; people put their homes up and people stay in them. Hotels and motels are regulated. FPAN is also looking into the marijuana industry and regulation. Regulation care facilities are a concern.

Bill Erlach – Would like to see a Board position on the Airbnb’s. Chief Chambers noted the lobbyists for the Nevada Realtors Association have look at proposed Regulation 132-18 which is updating the current fire code, NAC-477. There is a little concern in regards to defensible space under the WUI, it was more the local jurisdictions. They have no concerns on the State proposed regulation. The Association is aware of my concerns as they do reference constitutional rights and what we mandate on somebody’s house. As far as a baseline for the fire code in this area, there is nothing we can do, it is a home. Until such time the code, the SFM, and the State adopts the
International Residential Code, which never has happened. - is attending an NFPA Chief’s meeting soon and will address the baseline fire code there.

**Bart Chambers –**
- Reciprocity between California and Nevada is coming up again, and we have more allies this time, including Andrew Henning with California SFM.
- Enhancement under WUI for fire prevention signs, could be a nexus to assist WUI with the State Forester Firewarden and go after grant monies for fire prevention signs.
- Grants – the SFM website has access for volunteer fire departments for grants for everything from equipment, training, needs for fire station, infrastructure. The Board made mention of the education aspect earlier. McDermott was one that was asking for enhancement to their fire station and equipment. Will be working State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) to the grant information out to volunteer fire departments. The SFM is hosting a FEMA grant class in February to be held in Carson City, Las Vegas, Ely, and Elko.
- Looking to enhance our Plans Examiner position from a grade 37 to a grade 41, so we can attract talent and experience if we lose our current plans examiner.
- Fire Officer I and II should show phenomenal leaps and bounds in the near future.
- Fire Officer III-IV will happen in Southern Nevada and looking to bring it to Northern Nevada.
- Inspector’s position in Carson City should be filled the first part of 2019.
- Contractors - 206 contractors are now assigned to SFM. We are looking into fire investigation courses; we have a statutory obligation to provide training to fire agencies upon request.
- Training curriculum that meets or exceeds the national standard. We will support an agency that still wants to send somebody back the National fire Academy for R-206 training. Most importantly, there is networking. Anywhere from 80-160 hours in a segments for investigators to ensure they meet the needs of what is truly required of them; the courtroom experience, understand what Brady is, understand what certain elements are.
- If there are concerns in regards to dangerous fireworks and enforcement from fire prevention or cities within Nevada, I welcome hearing their concerns.

**Kelli Baratti –**
- DEM is currently going through Emergency Management Accreditation Program.
- Currently going through threat and hazard identification risk assessment and stakeholder preparedness review.

13. **SCHEDULE THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF FIRE SERVICES** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)

The next meeting will be held January 17, 2019.

14. **PUBLIC COMMENT** (Non-Action Item) There was no public comment.

15. **ADJOURNMENT** (Discussion/For Possible Action.)

*Eric Guevin motioned to adjourn. Kelli Baratti seconded the motion. No further discussion. Motion carried.*
This notice and Agenda has been posted on or before 9:00 am on June 18, 2018 at the following locations:

Nevada State Board of Architecture Interior Design and Residential Design, 2080 E Flamingo Road, Suite 120, Las Vegas, NV
Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, 1755 East Plumb Lane, Reno, NV
Carson City Fire Department, 777 South Stewart Street, Carson City, NV
Carson City Public Library, 901 North Roop Street, Carson City, NV
Central Lyon County Fire Department, 231 Corral Drive, Dayton, NV
Churchill Fire Department, 20 N. Carson Street, Fallon, NV
Clark County Fire Department, 575 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, NV
Clark County Fire Department Training Center, 4425 West Tropicana Avenue, Las Vegas, NV
Department of Public Safety, 555 Wright Way, Carson City, NV
East Fork Fire Protection District, 1694 County Road, Minden, NV
Elko Fire Department, 911 West Idaho Street, Elko, NV
Ely Fire Department, 1780 Great Basin Blvd., Ely, NV
Henderson Fire Department, 240 Water Street, Henderson, NV
Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, 633 No Mojave Road, Las Vegas, NV
Lyon County Public Library, 20 Nevin Way, Yerington, NV
Nevada State Library, 100 South Stewart Street, Carson City, NV
Pahrump Public Library, 701 E Street, Pahrump, NV
Reno Fire Department, 1 East First Street, Reno, NV
Sparks Fire Department, 1605 Victorian Avenue, Sparks, NV
State Fire Marshal Division, 107 Jacobsen Way, Carson City, NV
Washoe County Public Library, 301 South Center Street, Reno, NV
State Fire Marshal’s website: http://fire.nv.gov/Boards/Boards/
State Fire Marshal Division, Elko Office, 571 Idaho Street, #3, Elko, NV
State Fire Marshal Division, Las Vegas Office, 215 E. Bonanza Road, Las Vegas, NV

Pursuant to NRS 241.020(2)(c), a copy of supporting materials for the meeting may be obtained by contacting Gwen Barrett, Executive Administrative Assistant, State Fire Marshal Division, at 775/684-7525, 107 Jacobsen Way, Carson City, NV 89711