



2011 Regulatory Investigation Actions

Case # 110203-0778 – A licensed company was alleged to have failed to supervise the cleaning of a Type I exhaust system by a registered individual. (NRS 477.250)

The following action was taken: A criminal misdemeanor citation was issued to the owner of the business for Violation of State Fire Marshal Regulations (NRS 477.250)

Case # 110421-0648 – A complaint was received that fire extinguishers at a hotel/casino were improperly serviced and tagged.

The following action was taken: The registrant responsible was issued a criminal misdemeanor citation for violation of State Fire Regulations (NRS 477.250). The extinguishers were serviced and tagged correctly by the company at no cost to the customer.

Case # 110426-1250 – A complaint was received regarding work being conducted on a fire protection system by an unlicensed company and unregistered individual.

The following action was taken: All parties involved were warned not to perform work in the State Of Nevada without the proper Nevada licensing and certificate of registration.

Case # 110607-0727 – A complaint was received alleging that the cleaning of a Type I exhaust system was not performed to standards. The company and the individual were not properly licensed to do the work.

The following action was taken: A letter of caution was sent to the company advising them to cease and desist operations within the State of Nevada until proper licensing is obtained.

Case # 110629-0900 - A complaint was received alleging a misrepresentation of position as a Nevada State inspector. It was done to solicit business.

The following action was taken; The individual was issued a criminal misdemeanor citation for Deceptive Trade Practices, pursuant to NRS 598.0915 (3) (4) and Violation of Clark County Code 1.08.010 for not possessing a business license at the time the solicitation was made.

Case # 110804-0671 – A complaint was filed alleging a licensed Fire Alarm servicing company had failed to test 100% of a system on a commercial property.

The following action was taken: The investigation revealed that system was in fact being tested however as additional devices were added, records were incomplete. Contractual inconsistencies were also noted. The system was completely tested, documented and a new contract was put in place. A letter of Caution was issued to the company.

Case # 11O-000005 – A company was alleged to have installed a fire suppression system and failed to install the proper nozzles per the manufacturer’s specifications.

The following action was taken: Since the installation was initially approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) the company was required to install the system per manufacturer’s specifications. The company installed the proper nozzles and the system was subsequently approved again by the AHJ.

Case # 11O-000013 – A complaint was received regarding a company allegedly servicing fire extinguishers that the complaining company already had a contract for.

The following action was taken: The investigation revealed no violations; the investigation was closed with a disposition of “Unfounded”.

Case # O11101801 – A complaint was received alleging a Hood and Duct cleaning company failed to properly clean a type I exhaust system. The company was also alleged to have failed to have a certified registrant on site during the cleaning.

The following action was taken: The investigation revealed no violations; the investigation was closed with a disposition of “Unfounded”.

Case # O1120901 – A complaint was received alleging portable fire extinguishers at a commercial location were overdue for hydrostatic testing, improperly mounted and underweight. Numerous service tags did not have the year of service punched out.

The following action was taken: The investigation revealed that the year of service was not punched on the service tag but all other violations were unfounded. The new servicing company serviced all of the portable fire extinguishers to NFPA standards and punched the service tags properly. The violating technician and company were given verbal warnings regarding the standards for service tags.
